

# LIFTING DERIVATIONS AND $n$ -WEAK AMENABILITY OF THE SECOND DUAL OF A BANACH ALGEBRA

S. BAROOTKOOB AND H.R. EBRAHIMI VISHKI

**ABSTRACT.** We show that for  $n \geq 2$ ,  $n$ -weak amenability of the second dual  $\mathcal{A}^{**}$  of a Banach algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  implies that of  $\mathcal{A}$ . We also provide a positive answer for the case  $n = 1$ , which sharpens some older results. Our method of proof also provides a unified approach to give short proofs for some known results in the case where  $n = 1$ .

The concept of  $n$ -weak amenability was initiated and intensively developed by Dales, Ghahramani and Gronbæk [3]. A Banach algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  is said to be  $n$ -weakly amenable ( $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ) if every (bounded) derivation from  $\mathcal{A}$  into  $\mathcal{A}^{(n)}$  (the  $n^{\text{th}}$  dual of  $\mathcal{A}$ ) is inner. Trivially, 1-weak amenability is nothing else than weak amenability, which was first introduced and intensively studied by Bade, Curtis and Dales [2] for commutative Banach algebras and then by Johnson [9] for a general Banach algebra.

We equip the second dual  $\mathcal{A}^{**}$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  with its first Arens product and focus on the following question which is of special interest, especially for the case when  $n = 1$ .

*Does  $n$ -weakly amenability of  $\mathcal{A}^{**}$  force  $\mathcal{A}$  to be  $n$ -weakly amenable?*

In the present paper first we shall show:

**Theorem 1.** *The answer to the above question is positive for any  $n \geq 2$ .*

Then we consider the case  $n = 1$ , which is a long-standing open problem with a slightly different feature from that of  $n \geq 2$ . This case has been investigated and partially answered by many authors (see Theorem 6, in which we rearrange some known answers from [5, 6, 7, 8]). As a consequence of our general method of proof (for the case  $n = 1$ ), we present the next positive answer; in which,  $\pi$  denotes the product of  $\mathcal{A}$ ,  $\pi^* : \mathcal{A}^* \times \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^*$  is defined by

$$\langle \pi^*(a^*, a), b \rangle = \langle a^*, \pi(a, b) \rangle, \quad (a^* \in \mathcal{A}^*, a, b \in \mathcal{A}),$$

and  $Z_\ell(\pi^*)$  is the left topological centre of  $\pi^*$ , (see the next section).

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a Banach algebra such that every derivation  $D : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^*$  satisfies  $D^{**}(\mathcal{A}^{**}) \subseteq Z_\ell(\pi^*)$ . Then weak amenability of  $\mathcal{A}^{**}$  implies that of  $\mathcal{A}$ .*

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 46H20, 46H25.

*Key words and phrases.* Weak amenability,  $n$ -weak amenability, derivation, second dual, Arens product.

As a rapid consequence we get the next result, part (ii) of which sharpens [4, Corollary 7.5] and also [5, Theorem 2.1] (note that  $WAP(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq \mathcal{A}^* \subseteq Z_\ell(\pi^*)$ ); indeed, it shows that the hypothesis of Arens regularity of  $\mathcal{A}$  in [4, Corollary 7.5] is superfluous.

**Corollary 3.** *For a Banach algebra  $\mathcal{A}$ , in either of the following cases, the weak amenability of  $\mathcal{A}^{**}$  implies that of  $\mathcal{A}$ .*

- (i) *If  $\pi^*$  is Arens regular.*
- (ii) *If every derivation from  $\mathcal{A}$  into  $\mathcal{A}^*$  is weakly compact.*

The influence of the impressive paper [7] of Ghahramani *et al.* on our work should be evident. It should finally be remarked that part (ii) of Corollary 3 actually demonstrates what Ghahramani *et al.* claimed in a remark following [7, Theorem 2.3]. Indeed, as we shall see in the proof of Theorem 2,  $J_0^* \circ D^{**}$  is a derivation ( $J_0 : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{**}$  denotes the canonical embedding), however they claimed that  $D^{**}$  is a derivation and in their calculation of limits they used the Arens regularity of  $\mathcal{A}$ ; see also a remark just after the proof of [4, Corollary 7.5].

## THE PROOFS

To prepare the proofs, let us first fix some notations and preliminaries. Following the seminal work [1] of Arens, every bounded bilinear map  $f : \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}$  (on normed spaces) has two natural but, in general, different extensions  $f^{***}$  and  $f^{r***r}$  from  $\mathcal{X}^{**} \times \mathcal{Y}^{**}$  to  $\mathcal{Z}^{**}$ . Here the flip map  $f^r$  of  $f$  is defined by  $f^r(y, x) = f(x, y)$ , the adjoint  $f^* : \mathcal{Z}^* \times \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}^*$  of  $f$  is defined by

$$\langle f^*(z^*, x), y \rangle = \langle z^*, f(x, y) \rangle \quad (x \in \mathcal{X}, y \in \mathcal{Y} \text{ and } z^* \in \mathcal{Z}^*);$$

and also the second and third adjoints  $f^{**}$  and  $f^{***}$  of  $f$  are defined by  $f^{**} = (f^*)^*$  and  $f^{***} = (f^{**})^*$ , respectively. Continuing this process one can define the higher adjoints  $f^{(n)}$ , ( $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ).

We also define the left topological centre  $Z_\ell(f)$  of  $f$  by

$$Z_\ell(f) = \{x^{**} \in \mathcal{X}^{**}; y^{**} \longrightarrow f^{***}(x^{**}, y^{**}) : \mathcal{Y}^{**} \longrightarrow \mathcal{Z}^{**} \text{ is } w^* - w^* - \text{continuous}\}.$$

A bounded bilinear mapping  $f$  is said to be Arens regular if  $f^{***} = f^{r***r}$ , or equivalently  $Z_\ell(f) = \mathcal{X}^{**}$ .

It should be remarked that, in the case where  $\pi$  is the multiplication of a Banach algebra  $\mathcal{A}$ , then  $\pi^{***}$  and  $\pi^{r***r}$  are actually the first and second Arens products on  $\mathcal{A}^{**}$ , respectively. From now on, we only deal with the first Arens product  $\square$  and our results are based on  $(\mathcal{A}^{**}, \square)$ . Similar results can be derived if one uses the second Arens product instead of the first one.

Consider  $\mathcal{A}$  as a Banach  $\mathcal{A}$ -module equipped with its own multiplication  $\pi$ . Then  $(\pi^{r**}, \mathcal{A}^*, \pi^*)$  is the natural dual Banach  $\mathcal{A}$ -module, in which,  $\pi^{r**}$  and  $\pi^*$  denote its left and right module actions, respectively. Similarly, the  $n^{\text{th}}$  dual  $\mathcal{A}^{(n)}$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  can be made into a Banach  $\mathcal{A}$ -module in a natural fashion. A direct verification reveals that  $(\pi^{(3n)}, \mathcal{A}^{(2n)}, \pi^{(3n)})$  is a Banach  $\mathcal{A}^{**}$ -module. It induces the natural dual Banach  $\mathcal{A}^{**}$ -module  $(\pi^{(3n)r**}, \mathcal{A}^{(2n+1)}, \pi^{(3n+1)})$  which will be used in the sequel. Note that we have also  $(\pi^{r**r(3n)}, \mathcal{A}^{(2n+1)}, \pi^{(3n+1)})$  as a Banach  $\mathcal{A}^{**}$ -module that induced by  $(\pi^{r**}, \mathcal{A}^*, \pi^*)$ . It should be mentioned that these two actions on  $\mathcal{A}^{(2n+1)}$  do not coincide, in general. For more information on the equality of these actions in the case where  $n = 1$  see [4, 10].

From now on, we identify (an element of) a normed space with its canonical image in its second dual; however, we also use  $J_n : \mathcal{A}^{(n)} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{(n+2)}$  for the canonical embedding.

We commence with the next lemma.

**Lemma 4.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a Banach algebra,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and let  $D : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{(2n-1)}$  be a derivation.*

*(i) If  $n \geq 2$  then  $[(J_{2n-2})^* \circ D^{**}] : \mathcal{A}^{**} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{(2n+1)}$  is a derivation.*

*(ii) For  $n = 1$ ,  $[J_0^* \circ D^{**}] : \mathcal{A}^{**} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{***}$  is a derivation if and only if  $\pi^{*****}(D^{**}(\mathcal{A}^{**}), \mathcal{A}) \subseteq \mathcal{A}^*$ .*

*Proof.* (i). It is enough to show that for any  $a^{**}, b^{**} \in \mathcal{A}^{**}$

$$[(J_{2n-2})^* \circ D^{**}](a^{**} \square b^{**}) = \pi^{(3n+1)}([(J_{2n-2})^* \circ D^{**}](a^{**}), b^{**}) + \pi^{(3n)r**}(a^{**}, [(J_{2n-2})^* \circ D^{**}](b^{**})).$$

To this end let  $\{a_\alpha\}$  and  $\{b_\beta\}$  be bounded nets in  $\mathcal{A}$ ,  $w^*$ -converging to  $a^{**}$  and  $b^{**}$ , respectively. Then

$$\begin{aligned} D^{**}(a^{**} \square b^{**}) &= w^* - \lim_{\alpha} w^* - \lim_{\beta} D(a_\alpha b_\beta) \\ &= w^* - \lim_{\alpha} w^* - \lim_{\beta} [\pi^{(3n-2)}(D(a_\alpha), b_\beta) + \pi^{(3n-3)r**}(a_\alpha, D(b_\beta))] \\ &= \pi^{(3n+1)}(D^{**}(a^{**}), b^{**}) + \pi^{(3n-3)r****}(a^{**}, D^{**}(b^{**})). \end{aligned}$$

For each  $a^{(2n-2)} \in \mathcal{A}^{(2n-2)}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle (J_{2n-2})^*(\pi^{(3n-3)r****}(a^{**}, D^{**}(b^{**}))), a^{(2n-2)} \rangle &= \\ &= \lim_{\alpha} \lim_{\beta} \langle D(b_\beta), \pi^{(3n-3)}(a^{(2n-2)}, a_\alpha) \rangle \\ &= \lim_{\alpha} \langle D^{**}(b^{**}), \pi^{(3n-3)}(a^{(2n-2)}, a_\alpha) \rangle \\ &= \lim_{\alpha} \langle [(J_{2n-2})^* \circ D^{**}](b^{**}), \pi^{(3n-3)}(a^{(2n-2)}, a_\alpha) \rangle \\ &= \langle [(J_{2n-2})^* \circ D^{**}](b^{**}), \pi^{(3n)}(a^{(2n-2)}, a^{**}) \rangle \\ &= \langle \pi^{(3n)r**}(a^{**}, [(J_{2n-2})^* \circ D^{**}](b^{**})), a^{(2n-2)} \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Since for  $n \geq 2$ ,

$$\pi^{(3n)}(\mathcal{A}^{**}, \mathcal{A}^{(2n-2)}) = \pi^{(3n-3)}(\mathcal{A}^{**}, \mathcal{A}^{(2n-2)}) \subseteq \pi^{(3n-3)}(\mathcal{A}^{(2n-2)}, \mathcal{A}^{(2n-2)}) \subseteq \mathcal{A}^{(2n-2)}$$

(note that the same inclusion may not valid for the case  $n = 1$ ; indeed, it holds if and only if  $\pi^{***}(\mathcal{A}^{**}, \mathcal{A}) \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ , or equivalently,  $\mathcal{A}$  is a left ideal in  $\mathcal{A}^{**}$ !), we get  $\pi^{(3n)}(b^{**}, a^{(2n-2)}) \in \mathcal{A}^{(2n-2)}$  and so

$$\begin{aligned} \langle (J_{2n-2})^*(\pi^{(3n+1)}(D^{**}(a^{**}), b^{**})), a^{(2n-2)} \rangle &= \\ &= \langle D^{**}(a^{**}), \pi^{(3n)}(b^{**}, a^{(2n-2)}) \rangle \\ &= \langle [(J_{2n-2})^* \circ D^{**}](a^{**}), \pi^{(3n)}(b^{**}, a^{(2n-2)}) \rangle \\ &= \langle \pi^{(3n+1)}([(J_{2n-2})^* \circ D^{**}](a^{**}), b^{**}), a^{(2n-2)} \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} [(J_{2n-2})^* \circ D^{**}](a^{**} \square b^{**}) &= \\ &= (J_{2n-2})^*(\pi^{(3n+1)}(D^{**}(a^{**}), b^{**})) + (J_{2n-2})^*(\pi^{(3n-3)r**r***}(a^{**}, D^{**}(b^{**}))) \\ &= \pi^{(3n+1)}([(J_{2n-2})^* \circ D^{**}](a^{**}), b^{**}) + \pi^{(3n)r**r}(a^{**}, [(J_{2n-2})^* \circ D^{**}](b^{**})); \end{aligned}$$

as required.

For (ii), examining the above proof for the case  $n = 1$  shows that,  $J_0^* \circ D^{**} : \mathcal{A}^{**} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{***}$  is a derivation if and only if

$$J_0^*(\pi^{****}(D^{**}(a^{**}), b^{**})) = \pi^{****}([J_0^* \circ D^{**}](a^{**}), b^{**}) \quad (a^{**}, b^{**} \in \mathcal{A}^{**}),$$

which holds if and only if

$$\langle \pi^{****}(D^{**}(a^{**}), b^{**}), a \rangle = \langle \pi^{****}([J_0^* \circ D^{**}](a^{**}), b^{**}), a \rangle \quad (a \in \mathcal{A});$$

or equivalently,

$$\langle \pi^{****r*}(D^{**}(a^{**}), a), b^{**} \rangle = \langle \pi^{****r*}([J_0^* \circ D^{**}](a^{**}), a), b^{**} \rangle.$$

As  $\pi^{****r*}([J_0^* \circ D^{**}](a^{**}), a) = \pi^{**r}([J_0^* \circ D^{**}](a^{**}), a) \in \mathcal{A}^*$  and also  $\pi^{****r*}(D^{**}(a^{**}), a)|_{\mathcal{A}} = \pi^{**r}([J_0^* \circ D^{**}](a^{**}), a)$ ; the map  $[J_0^* \circ D^{**}] : \mathcal{A}^{**} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{***}$  is a derivation if and only if  $\pi^{****r*}(D^{**}(a^{**}), a) \in \mathcal{A}^*$ , as claimed. □

We are now ready to present the proofs of the main results.

**Proof of Theorem 1.** Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $D : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{(2n)}$  be a derivation and let  $a^{**}, b^{**} \in \mathcal{A}^{**}$ . As  $(\pi^{(3n+3)}, \mathcal{A}^{(2n+2)}, \pi^{(3n+3)})$  is a Banach  $\mathcal{A}^{**}$ -module, a standard double limit process

argument—similar to what has been used at the beginning of the proof of the preceding lemma—shows that  $D^{**} : \mathcal{A}^{**} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{(2n+2)}$  satisfies

$$D^{**}(a^{**} \square b^{**}) = \pi^{(3n+3)}(D^{**}(a^{**}), b^{**}) + \pi^{(3n+3)}(a^{**}, D^{**}(b^{**})).$$

Therefore  $D^{**}$  is a derivation and so  $(2n)$ –weak amenability of  $\mathcal{A}^{**}$  implies that  $D^{**} = \delta_{a^{(2n+2)}}$  for some  $a^{(2n+2)} \in \mathcal{A}^{(2n+2)}$ . Now we get  $D = \delta_{(J_{2n-1})^*(a^{(2n+2)})}$ . Thus  $D$  is inner and so  $\mathcal{A}$  is  $(2n)$ –weakly amenable.

Now for the odd case, suppose that  $\mathcal{A}^{**}$  is  $(2n-1)$ –weakly amenable and let  $D : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{(2n-1)}$  be a derivation. Then as we have seen in Lemma 4, when  $n \geq 2$  the mapping  $[(J_{2n-2})^* \circ D^{**}] : \mathcal{A}^{**} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{(2n+1)}$  is a derivation. But then, by the assumption,  $[(J_{2n-2})^* \circ D^{**}] = \delta_{a^{(2n+1)}}$  for some  $a^{(2n+1)} \in \mathcal{A}^{(2n+1)}$ . It follows that  $D = \delta_{(J_{2n-2})^*(a^{(2n+1)})}$ , so that  $D$  is inner, as claimed.  $\square$

**Proof of Theorem 2.** Let  $a^{**}, b^{**} \in \mathcal{A}^{**}, a \in \mathcal{A}$  and let  $\{a_\alpha^{**}\}$  be a net in  $\mathcal{A}^{**}$   $w^*$ –converging to  $a^{**}$ . As  $D^{**}(b^{**}) \in Z_\ell(\pi^*)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_\alpha \langle \pi^{***r*}(D^{**}(b^{**}), a), a_\alpha^{**} \rangle &= \lim_\alpha \langle D^{**}(b^{**}), \pi^{***}(a_\alpha^{**}, a) \rangle \\ &= \lim_\alpha \langle \pi^{***}(D^{**}(b^{**}), a_\alpha^{**}), a \rangle \\ &= \langle \pi^{***}(D^{**}(b^{**}), a^{**}), a \rangle \\ &= \langle D^{**}(b^{**}), \pi^{***}(a^{**}, a) \rangle \\ &= \langle \pi^{***r*}(D^{**}(b^{**}), a), a^{**} \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

And this means that  $\pi^{***r*}(D^{**}(b^{**}), a) \in \mathcal{A}^*$ , so that  $J_0^* \circ D^{**}$  is derivation by Lemma 4. Now by the assumption  $J_0^* \circ D^{**} = \delta_{a^{***}}$ , for some  $a^{***} \in \mathcal{A}^{***}$ , and this follows that  $D = \delta_{J_0^*(a^{***})}$ , so that  $\mathcal{A}$  is weakly amenable.  $\square$

## FURTHER CONSEQUENCES

Recall that for a derivation  $D : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^*$  the second adjoint  $D^{**}$  is a derivation if and only if  $\pi^{r**r*}(a^{**}, D^{**}(b^{**})) = \pi^{***r*}(a^{**}, D^{**}(b^{**}))$ , for every  $a^{**}, b^{**} \in \mathcal{A}^{**}$ ; or equivalently,  $\pi^{***}(D^{**}(\mathcal{A}^{**}), \mathcal{A}^{**}) \subseteq \mathcal{A}^*$ ; see [4, Theorem 7.1] and also [10, Theorem 4.2] for a more general case. While, as Lemma 4 demonstrates,  $J_0^* \circ D^{**}$  is a derivation if and only if  $\pi^{***r*}(D^{**}(\mathcal{A}^{**}), \mathcal{A}) \subseteq \mathcal{A}^*$ . In the next result we investigate the interrelation between  $D^{**}$  and  $J_0^* \circ D^{**}$ .

**Proposition 5.** *Let  $D : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^*$  be a derivation.*

- (i) *If  $D^{**}$  is a derivation and  $\mathcal{A}^{**} \square \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}^{**}$  then  $J_0^* \circ D^{**}$  is a derivation.*
- (ii) *If  $J_0^* \circ D^{**}$  is a derivation and  $\mathcal{A}$  is Arens regular then  $D^{**}$  is a derivation.*

*Proof.* (i). As  $\mathcal{A}^{**} \square \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}^{**}$ , for each  $b^{**} \in \mathcal{A}^{**}$  there exist  $a^{**} \in \mathcal{A}^{**}$  and  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $a^{**} \square a = b^{**}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \pi^{***r*}(D^{**}(b^{**}), b) &= \pi^{***r*}(D^{**}(a^{**} \square a), b) \\ &= \pi^{***r*}(\pi^{****}(D^{**}(a^{**}), a) + \pi^{***r*}(a^{**}, D(a)), b) \\ &= \pi^{r*}(\pi^{****}(D^{**}(a^{**}), a) + \pi^{**}(a^{**}, D(a)), b) \in \mathcal{A}^*. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from Lemma 4 that  $J_0^* \circ D^{**}$  is a derivation.

(ii). Since  $J_0^* \circ D^{**}$  is a derivation,

$$J_0^*(\pi^{****}(D^{**}(a^{**}), b^{**})) = \pi^{****}([J_0^* \circ D^{**}](a^{**}), b^{**}) \quad (a^{**}, b^{**} \in \mathcal{A}^{**}).$$

Let  $\{a_\alpha\}$  be a bounded net in  $\mathcal{A}$ ,  $w^*$ -converging to  $a^{**}$ . Then as  $\mathcal{A}$  is Arens regular,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \pi^{r***}(a^{**}, D^{**}(b^{**})), c^{**} \rangle &= \lim_{\alpha} \langle \pi^{r***}(D^{**}(b^{**}), c^{**}), a_{\alpha} \rangle \\ &= \lim_{\alpha} \langle J_0^*(\pi^{****}(D^{**}(b^{**}), c^{**})), a_{\alpha} \rangle \\ &= \lim_{\alpha} \langle \pi^{****}([J_0^* \circ D^{**}](b^{**}), c^{**}), a_{\alpha} \rangle \\ &= \lim_{\alpha} \langle [J_0^* \circ D^{**}](b^{**}), \pi^{***}(c^{**}, a_{\alpha}) \rangle \\ &= \langle [J_0^* \circ D^{**}](b^{**}), \pi^{***}(c^{**}, a^{**}) \rangle \\ &= \langle \pi^{***r*}(a^{**}, D^{**}(b^{**})), c^{**} \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

for all  $c^{**} \in \mathcal{A}^{**}$ . Therefore  $D^{**}$  is a derivation.  $\square$

As a by-product of our method of proof we provide a unified approach to new proofs for some known results for the case where  $n = 1$ .

**Theorem 6.** *In either of the following cases, weak amenability of  $\mathcal{A}^{**}$  implies that of  $\mathcal{A}$ .*

- (i)  $\mathcal{A}$  is a left ideal in  $\mathcal{A}^{**}$ ; [7, Theorem 2.3].
- (ii)  $\mathcal{A}$  is a dual Banach algebra; [6, Theorem 2.2].
- (iii)  $\mathcal{A}$  is a right ideal in  $\mathcal{A}^{**}$  and  $\mathcal{A}^{**} \square \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}^{**}$ ; [5, Theorem 2.4].

*Proof.* In either cases, it sufficients to show that for a derivation  $D : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^*$  the map  $J_0^* \circ D^{**} : \mathcal{A}^{**} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{***}$  is also a derivation, or equivalently,  $\pi^{***r*}(D^{**}(\mathcal{A}^{**}), \mathcal{A}) \subseteq \mathcal{A}^*$ .

(i) If  $\mathcal{A}$  is a left ideal in  $\mathcal{A}^{**}$ , i.e.  $\mathcal{A}^{**} \square \mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ , then for each  $a^{**}, b^{**} \in \mathcal{A}^{**}, a \in \mathcal{A}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \pi^{***r*}(D^{**}(a^{**}), a), b^{**} \rangle &= \langle D^{**}(a^{**}), b^{**} \square a \rangle = \langle \pi^{***r*}([J_0^* \circ D^{**}](a^{**}), a), b^{**} \rangle \\ &= \langle \pi^{***r}([J_0^* \circ D^{**}](a^{**}), a), b^{**} \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $\pi^{***r*}(D^{**}(a^{**}), a) = \pi^{***r}([J_0^* \circ D^{**}](a^{**}), a) \in \mathcal{A}^*$ , as required.

(ii) Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a dual Banach algebra with a predual  $\mathcal{A}_*$ . It is easy to verify that  $J_0^* \circ D^{**} = D \circ (J_{\mathcal{A}_*})^*$ , where  $J_{\mathcal{A}_*} : \mathcal{A}_* \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^*$  denotes the canonical embedding. Now using the fact that  $(J_{\mathcal{A}_*})^* : \mathcal{A}^{**} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  is a homomorphism, a direct verification shows that  $D \circ (J_{\mathcal{A}_*})^*$  is a derivation.

(iii) To show that  $J_0^* \circ D^{**} : \mathcal{A}^{**} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{***}$  is a derivation, by Proposition 5 we only need to show that  $D^{**}$  is a derivation. However this was done in the proof of [5, Theorem 2.4], we also give the next somewhat shorter proof for this. Let  $a^{**}, b^{**}, c^{**}, d^{**} \in \mathcal{A}^{**}$  and  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $d^{**} \square a = b^{**}$ . As  $a \square c^{**} \in \mathcal{A}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \pi^{****}(D^{**}(a^{**}), b^{**}), c^{**} \rangle &= \langle \pi^{****}(D^{**}(a^{**}), d^{**} \square a), c^{**} \rangle \\ &= \langle \pi^{****}(D^{**}(a^{**}), d^{**}), a \square c^{**} \rangle \\ &= \langle \pi^*(J_0^*(\pi^{****}(D^{**}(a^{**}), d^{**})), a), c^{**} \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

We have thus  $\pi^{****}(D^{**}(a^{**}), b^{**}) = \pi^*(J_0^*(\pi^{****}(D^{**}(a^{**}), d^{**})), a) \in \mathcal{A}^*$ , and this says that  $\pi^{****}(D^{**}(\mathcal{A}^{**}), \mathcal{A}^{**}) \subseteq \mathcal{A}^*$ , as required.  $\square$

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The useful comments of anonymous referee is gratefully acknowledged.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] A. Arens, *The adjoint of a bilinear operation*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **2**(1951), 839–848.
- [2] W. G. Bade, P. C. Curtis, and H. G. Dales, *Amenability and weak amenability for Beurling and Lipschitz algebras*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **55** (1987), 359–377.
- [3] H. G. Dales, F. Ghahramani, and N. Grønbæk, *Derivations into iterated duals of Banach algebras*, Studia Math. **128** (1) (1998), 19–54.
- [4] H. G. Dales, A. Rodrigues-Palacios and M. V. Velasco, *The second transpose of a derivation*, J. London Math. Soc. **64** (2) (2001), 707–721.
- [5] M. Eshaghi Gordji and M. Filali, *Weak amenability of the second dual of a Banach algebra*, Studia Math. **182** (3) (2007), 205–213.
- [6] F. Ghahramani and J. Laali, *Amenability and topological centres of the second duals of Banach algebras*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. **65** (2002), 191–197.
- [7] F. Ghahramani, R.J. Loy and G.A. Willis, *Amenability and weak amenability of the second conjugate Banach algebras*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **124** (1996), 1489–1497.
- [8] A. Jabbari, M.S. Moslehian and H.R.E. Vishki, *Constructions preserving  $n$ -weak amenability of Banach algebras*, Mathematica Bohemica **134** (4), (2009), 349–357.
- [9] B. E. Johnson, *Weak amenability of group algebras*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **23** (1991), 281–284.
- [10] S. Mohammadzadeh and H.R.E. Vishki, *Arens regularity of module actions and the second adjoint of a derivation*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. **77** (2008), 465–476.

DEPARTMENT OF PURE MATHEMATICS, FERDOWSI UNIVERSITY OF MASHHAD, P.O. BOX 1159, MASHHAD 91775, IRAN

*E-mail address:* `sbk-923@yahoo.com`

DEPARTMENT OF PURE MATHEMATICS AND CENTRE OF EXCELLENCE IN ANALYSIS ON ALGEBRAIC STRUCTURES (CEAAS), FERDOWSI UNIVERSITY OF MASHHAD, P.O. BOX 1159, MASHHAD 91775, IRAN.

*E-mail address:* `vishki@um.ac.ir`